	Case 2:21-cv-00392-JAM-DMC Documer	nt 131 Filed 01/10/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOHN BROWN,	No. 2:21-CV-0392-JAM-DMC
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	TAKEUCHI MFG. CO. (U.S.), LTD., et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		•
18	Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this civil action.	
19	Pending before ethe Court is Defendant Takeuchi Mfg. Co. (U.S.), Ltd.'s motion to compel	
20	Plaintiff's attendance at his deposition, ECF No. 109. Plaintiff has filed an opposition, ECF No.	
21	114, and Defendant has filed a reply, ECF No. 116. The parties appeared before the undersigned	
22	in Sacramento, California, for a hearing on Defendant's motion. After considering the moving,	
23	opposing, and reply papers, as well as arguments and representations made by counsel at the	
24	hearing, the Court made certain orders from the bench which were memorialized in a formal	
25	order issued on September 19, 2023. Based on the subsequent procedural history reflected on the	
26 27	docket, the Court will hereby resolve the pending motion at ECF No. 109.	
2728	///	
۷۵		1

Case 2:21-cv-00392-JAM-DMC Document 131 Filed 01/10/24 Page 2 of 2

The motion concerns Plaintiff's failure to appear for several noticed depositions. In the briefs and at the hearing, counsel represented that they have agreed that Plaintiff will appear for his deposition on September 20, 2023, in Davis, California, as currently noticed. At the hearing and in the Court's September 19, 2023, order, the expressed its expectation that Plaintiff would appear the next day as properly noticed, and the Court so ordered. The Court further stated that it would receive declarations concerning the imposition of sanctions, including terminating sanctions, should Plaintiff fail to appear. As of the date of issuance of this order, no such declarations have been received. Given the lack of declarations or further motions related to Plaintiff's attendance at his deposition, the Court will presume that Plaintiff did in fact appear at his deposition and will deny Defendant's motion to compel as moot.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's motion to compel Plaintiff's attendance at his deposition, ECF No. 109, is DENIED as moot.

DENNIS M. COTA

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: January 9, 2024

The next filing after the Court's September 19, 2023, order is Defendant's motion to compel further responses to written discovery, filed on December 6, 2023. This motion makes no reference to a failure of Plaintiff to appear at his deposition.